

Overcoming Systemic Challenges to Reduce Diagnostic Error

JUNE 27, 2023

IAN DOTEN MD, FACEP
ANNE FLITCROFT RN, BSN

OUR PURPOSE: TO PROTECT, DEFEND, AND SUPPORT OUR MEMBERS



The information in this presentation should be modified based on individual circumstances, professional judgment, and local resources. This presentation is provided for educational purposes and is not intended to establish guidelines or standards of care. Any recommendations contained within the presentation are not intended to be followed in all cases and do not provide any medical or legal advice.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES



- Explain why diagnostic error is problematic
- Identify contributing causes to diagnostic error
- Review solutions to mitigate the risk of diagnostic error
- Examine relevant monitoring strategies to evaluate risk



- The diagnostic process is complex and involves multiple steps including:
 - Assessment
 - Examination
 - Testing
 - Referral(s)
- Timely and accurate diagnosis is critical to the overall success of treatment



- Diagnostic Error is defined in two ways by the National Academy of Medicine:
 - "The failure to establish an accurate and timely explanation of the patient's health problem(s)"
 - "The failure to communicate that explanation to the patient"



- There are three main categories of diagnostic error:
 - Delayed diagnosis- patient should have been diagnosed earlier
 - Missed diagnosis- original diagnosis is incorrect
 - Wrong diagnosis- patient never receives an accurate diagnosis



- According to the National Practitioner Data Bank:
 - Diagnostic errors were the most common allegation in an analysis of 350,000 malpractice claims
 - Diagnostic errors represents 29% of claims and 35% of malpractice insurance payouts
 - 41% of claims involving diagnostic error result in death,
 compared to 24% of claims involving other allegations



- Diagnostic error can result in a delay in treatment options that could have created better quality of life and reduced suffering
- Wrong or delayed diagnoses cause more serious harm than any other type of medical error
- 40,000-80,000 patients die annually from diagnostic error (Society to Improve Diagnosis in Medicine)

CHALLENGES



- Human factors
 - Cognitive errors
 - Misinterpretation of results
 - Miscommunication
 - Confirmation bias
 - Resource Management
 - Burnout/workload
 - Distractions

CHALLENGES



Patient factors

- Noncompliance or nonadherence with treatment recommendations
- Early access to test results (MyChart)
- Social determinants that impede access to care
- Miscommunication

CHALLENGES



System factors

- Unclear follow-up instruction
- Limited access to specialists
- Lack of care coordination
- Multiple handoffs
- Miscommunication

CASE STUDY #1 – DELAYED DX



- 36 year old male presents for HIV screening
- HIV test results indicate further testing is indicated
- Electronic Health Record designed to automatically
 "flag" abnormal results and initiate a "reflex" order
- HIV test result not flagged as abnormal and was therefore never viewed by the ordering provider

CASE STUDY #1 – DELAYED DX



- Physician instructs the medical assistant to inform patient that he was negative for HIV
- Patient's health declines over the next six years
- Patient is hospitalized and a rapid HIV test obtained

CASE STUDY # 1 – DELAYED DX



- Positive HIV diagnosis made
- Past medical history determined patient was in need of follow-up six years prior to diagnosis
- Other individuals unknowingly exposed to HIV due to delay

CASE STUDY # 1- DELAYED DX



Risk Management Guidance:

- Confirm that EHR flags are appropriately applied
- Evaluate workflows in response to abnormal tests
- Confirm that reflex orders are viewed by a provider
- Do not deviate from defined workflows/formats

CASE STUDY #2 - REFERRAL



- 70 year old female presents to Emergency Department with shortness of breath
- Chest x-ray reveals pneumonia
- Incidental pulmonary nodule noted by radiology
- Radiology report recommends "additional imaging to evaluate pulmonary nodule"

CASE STUDY #2 - REFERRAL



- Patient is treated for pneumonia and discharged home
- Patient is not told she has pulmonary nodule but it advised to follow-up with her PCP if her symptoms worsen
- Radiology report is not sent to the patient's PCP

CASE STUDY #2- REFERRAL



- 8 months later the patient is evaluated by her PCP for weight loss and persistent cough
- A CT scan is ordered and patient is diagnosed with metastatic lung cancer
- Patient is advised that she had pulmonary nodule 8 months prior



Risk Management Guidance:

- Establish a process to ensure communication of incidental findings to the patient and their PCP when applicable
- Consider engaging case management to facilitate completion of referrals and follow-up recommendations
- Document efforts to resolve any issues with this process in the medical record

- 62 year old male is ordered to have routine Prostate
 Serum Antigen (PSA) screening
- Lab test was not able to be completed as patient was not fasting
- Patient did not keep rescheduled appointment for lab

- Two years later the patient presents for a physical and a PSA is obtained and indicates a need for close monitoring
- No labs are scheduled at the time of the appointment
- No follow-up reminders or communication are sent to patient

- 5 years late the patient reports a decrease in urine volume
- PSA is ordered but the order is cancelled by the lab for unknown reasons and the lab-work is not rescheduled
- Patient return a year later with a PSA well outside normal levels and is diagnosed with prostate cancer

Risk Management Guidance

- Educate lab staff to never cancel a lab order without notifying the ordering provider and documenting rationale
- List the patient's responsibilities as part of the written plan and provide a copy to the patient.
- Encourage patients to schedule follow-up appointments prior to leaving, send appointment reminders, etc.
- Document with specificity nonadherent or noncompliant behavior in the patient record

SOLUTIONS



- Implement a process to "Close the Loop" that includes:
 - Verification of order or referral
 - Communication of results to the ordering physician
 - Timely review of results
 - Patient notification of test results or referral outcome
 - Completion of indicated follow-up
 - Documentation in the medical record of all steps including any declinations of care

SOLUTIONS



- Standardize processes to improve accuracy and efficiency
- Evaluate workflows to identify areas of potential bottlenecking
- Develop a tracking system to improve adherence with follow-up
 - Recalls and reminders

SOLUTIONS



- Consider alternate care delivery models, such as telehealth if feasible
- Standardize interventions for patients who decline or are unresponsive to follow-up

MONITORING



• Culture:

- Encourage non-punitive reporting of actual and near miss events related to diagnostic error
- Engage frontline staff to develop sustainable solutions
- Communicate results and share lessons learned

MONITORING



Systems:

- Analyze data to identify trends
- Clearly assign responsibility for actionable items
- Implement relevant action plans to address opportunities
- Continue data capture and analysis to monitor progress and evaluate effectiveness of interventions

RESOURCES



- Physicians Insurance
- Society to Improve Diagnostic Medicine
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)
- Emergency Care Research Institute (ECRI)
- Washington State Hospital Association

REFERENCES



- "Closing the Loop on Diagnostic Tests: Information Technology Solution" September 2017. Accessed at: Spehttps://www.ecri.org/Resources/HIT/Closing_Loop/Closin g_the_Loop_Evidence_Report.pdfcial Report Template (ecri.org)
- "Closing the Loop on Results and Referrals" February 2023.
 Accessed at :https://www.phyins.com
- "Improving Diagnosis in Healthcare" 2015. Accessed at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK338594/
- "What is Diagnostic Error?" 2023. Accessed at <u>What is</u>
 <u>Diagnostic Error? Society to Improve Diagnosis in Medicine</u>



THANK YOU

(800) 962-1399 TALKTOUS@PHYINS.COM